arXiv:1701.00577v1 [astro-ph.CO] 3 Jan 2017

Resonant Superstring Excitations during Inflation

G. J. Mathews[1,2], M. R. Gangopadhyay[1], K. Ichiki[3], T. Kajino[2,4,5]
[1] *Center for Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556*
[2] *National Astronomical Observatory, 2-21-1, Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*
[3] *Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
[4] *Department of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan and*
[5] *International Research Center for Big-Bang Cosmology and Element Genesis, and School of Physics and Nuclear Energy Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China*
(Dated: January 4, 2017)

We explore the possibility that both the suppression of the $\ell = 2$ multipole moment of the power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background temperature fluctuations and the possible dip in the power spectrum for $\ell = 10 - 30$ can be explained as the result of the resonant creation of sequential excitations of a fermionic (or bosonic) open (or closed) superstring that couples to the inflaton field. We show that a superstring with ≈ 43 or 42 oscillations can fit the dips in the CMB TT and EE power spectra at $\ell = 2$, and $\ell = 20$, respectively. We deduce degeneracy of $N \approx 1340$ from which we infer a coupling constant between the string and the inflaton field of $\lambda = 0.06 \pm 0.05$. This implies masses of $m \approx 540 - 750\ m_{pl}$ for these states. We also show marginal evidence for the next lower excitation with $n = 41$ oscillations on the string at $\ell \approx 60$. Although the evidence of the dips at $\ell \approx 20$ and $\ell \approx 60$ are of marginal statistical significance, and there are other possible interpretations of these features, this could constitute the first observational evidence of the existence of a superstring in Nature.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Es, 98.70.Vc

I. INTRODUCTION

It is generally accepted that the energy scale of superstrings is so high that it is impossible to ever observe a superstring in the laboratory. There is, however, one epoch in which the energy scale of superstrings was obtainable in Nature. That is in the realm of the early moments of trans-Plankian [1] chaotic inflation out of the string theory landscape.

This paper explores the possibility that a specific sequence of super-string excitations may have made itself known via its coupling to the inflaton field of inflation. This may have left an imprint of "dips" [2] in the TT power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background. The identification of this particle as a superstring is possible because there may be evidence for sequential oscillator states of the same superstring that appear on different scales of the sky.

The primordial power spectrum is believed to derive from quantum fluctuations generated during the inflationary epoch [3, 4]. The various observed power spectra of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) are then modified by the dynamics of the cosmic radiation and matter fluids as various scales re-enter the horizon along with effects from the transport of photons from the epoch of last scattering to the present time. Indeed, the *Planck* data [5, 6] have provided the highest resolution yet available in the determination CMB power spectra. Although the TT primordial power spectrum is well fit with a simple tilted power law [6], there remain at least two interesting features that may suggest deviations from the simplest inflation paradigm.

One such feature is the well known suppression of the $\ell = 2$ moment of the CMB power spectrum observed both by *Planck* [5] and by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (*WMAP*) [7]. There is also a feature of marginal statistical significance [6] in the observed power spectrum of both *Planck* and *WMAP* near multipoles $\ell = 10 - 30$. Both of these deviations occur in an interesting region in the CMB power spectrum because they correspond to angular scales that are not yet in causal contact when the CMB photons were emitted. Hence, the observed power spectrum is close to the true primordial power spectrum for these features.

In the *Planck* inflation parameters paper [6], however, the deviation from a simple power law in the range $\ell = 10 - 30$ was deduced to be of weak statistical significance due to the large cosmic variance at low ℓ. In particular, a range of models was considered from the minimal case of a kinetic energy dominated phase preceding a short inflationary stage (with just one extra parameter), to a model with a step-like feature in the inflation generating potential and in the sound speed (with five extra parameters). These modifications led to improved fits of up to $\Delta\chi^2 = 12$. However, neither the Bayesian evidence nor a frequentist simulation-based analysis showed any statistically significant preference over a simple power law.

Nevertheless, a number of mechanisms have been proposed [8] to deal with the suppression of the power spectrum on large scales and low multipoles. In addition to being an artifact of cosmic variance [6, 9], large-scale power suppression could arise from changes in the effective inflation-generating potential [10], differing initial

conditions at the beginning of inflation [2, 11, 12, 14–19], the ISW effect [20], effects of spatial curvature [21], non-trivial topology [22], geometry [23, 24], a violation of statistical anisotropies [25], effects of a cosmological-constant type of dark energy during inflation [26], the bounce due to a contracting phase to inflation [27, 28], the production of primordial micro black-holes [29], hemispherical anisotropy and non-gaussianity [30, 31], the scattering of the inflationary trajectory in multiple field inflation by a hidden feature in the isocurvature direction [32], brane symmetry breaking in string theory [33, 34], quantum entanglement in the M-theory landscape [35], or loop quantum cosmology [36], etc.

In a previous work [2], we considered another possibility, i.e. that the suppression of the power spectrum in the range $\ell = 10 - 30$ in particular could be due to the resonant creation [37, 38] of Planck-scale fermions that couple to the inflaton field.

The present paper is an extension of that work. Here, we show that both the suppression of the $\ell = 2$ moment and the suppression of the power spectrum in the range $\ell = 10-30$ could be explained from the resonant coupling to successive numbers of oscillations of a single open or closed fermionic superstring. Indeed, both the apparent amplitude and the location of these features arise naturally in this picture. There is also a prediction of another string excitation for $\ell \approx 60$.

This result is significant in that accessing the mass scales of superstrings is impossible in the laboratory. Indeed, the only place in Nature where such scales exist is during the first instants of cosmic expansion in the inflationary epoch. Here we examine the possibility that, of the myriads of string excitations present in the birth of the universe out of the M-theory landscape, it may be that one string serendipitously made its presence known via a natural coupling to the inflaton field during the last ~ 9 e-folds visible on the sky.

We emphasize, however, that the existence of such features in the CMB power spectrum from string theory is not unique. In [33, 34] the suppression of the $\ell = 2$ and the dip for $\ell = 10 - 30$ were simultaneously fit in a string-theory brane symmetry breaking mechanism. In this case, however, the source of the features is due to the nature of the inflation-generating potential in string theory. This mechanism splits boson and fermion excitations, leaving behind an exponential potential that is too steep for the inflaton to emerge from the initial singularity while descending it. As a result, the scalar field generically "bounces against an exponential wall." Just as in [10], this steepening potential then introduces an infrared depression and a preinflationary break in the power spectrum of scalar perturbations, reproducing the observed feature.

In the present work, however, rather than to address the implications for the inflation-generating potential, we consider the possibility of the resonant creation of open or closed fermionic (or bosonic) superstrings with sequential numbers of oscillations. We also note that there is a prediction in this model of a third possibly observable dip in the CMB power spectrum.

II. RESONANT PARTICLE PRODUCTION DURING INFLATION

The details of the resonant particle creation paradigm during inflation have been explained in Refs. [2, 37, 38]. Indeed, the idea was originally introduced [46] as a means for reheating after inflation. Since Ref. [37], subsequent work [47–50] has elaborated on the basic scheme into a model with coupling between two scalar fields. Here, we summarize the essential features of a single fermion field coupled to the inflaton as a means to clarify the physics of the possible dips in the CMB power spectrum.

In this minimal extension from the basic picture, the inflaton ϕ is postulated to couple to particles whose mass is of order the inflaton field value. These particles are then resonantly produced as the field obtains a critical value during inflation. If even a small fraction of the inflaton field is affected in this way, it can produce an observable feature in the primordial power spectrum. In particular, there can be either an excess in the power spectrum as noted in [37, 38], or a dip in the power spectrum as described in Ref. [2]. Such a dip offers important new clues to the trans-Planckian physics of the early universe.

In the simplest slow roll approximation [3, 4], the generation of primordial density perturbations of amplitude, $\delta_H(k)$, when crossing the Hubble radius is just,

$$\delta_H(k) \approx \frac{H^2}{5\pi\dot{\phi}} \quad , \tag{1}$$

where H is the expansion rate, and $\dot{\phi}$ is the rate of change of the inflaton field when the comoving wave number k crosses the Hubble radius during inflation. The resonant particle production could, however, affect the inflaton field such that the conjugate momentum $\dot{\phi}$ is altered. This could cause either an increase or a diminution in $\delta_H(k)$ (the primordial power spectrum) for those wave numbers which exit the horizon during the resonant particle production epoch. In particular, when $\dot{\phi}$ is accelerated due to particle production, it may deviate from the slow-roll condition. In [37], however, this correction was analyzed and found to be $<<$ 20%. Hence, for our purposes we ignore this correction.

For the application here, we adopt a positive Yukawa coupling of strength λ between an inflaton field ϕ and a field ψ of N degenerate fermion species. This differs from [37, 38] who adopted a negative Yukawa coupling. With our choice, the total Lagrangian density including the inflaton scalar field ϕ, the Dirac fermion field, and the Yukawa coupling term is then simply,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - V(\phi) \\ &+ i\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi + N\lambda\phi\bar{\psi}\psi \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

For this Lagrangian, it is obvious that the fermions have an effective mass of

$$M(\phi) = m - N\lambda\phi \quad . \tag{3}$$

This vanishes for a critical value of the inflaton field, $\phi_* = m/N\lambda$. Resonant fermion production will then occur in a narrow range of the inflaton field amplitude around $\phi = \phi_*$.

As in Refs. [2, 37, 38] we label the epoch at which particles are created by an asterisk. So, the cosmic scale factor is labeled a_* at the time t_* at which resonant particle production occurs. Considering a small interval around this epoch, one can treat $H = H_*$ as approximately constant (slow roll inflation). The number density n of particles can be taken [2, 37, 38] as zero before t_* and afterwards as $n = n_*[a_*/a(t)]^3$. The fermion vacuum expectation value can then be written,

$$\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle = n_*\Theta(t-t_*)\exp\left[-3H_*(t-t_*)\right] \quad . \tag{4}$$

where Θ is a step function.

Then following the derivation in [37, 38], we can write the modified equation of motion for the scalar field coupled to ψ:

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -V'(\phi) + N\lambda\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle \quad , \tag{5}$$

where $V'(\phi) = dV/d\phi$. The solution to this differential equation after particle creation ($t > t_*$) is then similar to that derived in Refs. [37, 38] but with a sign change for the coupling term, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\phi}(t>t_*) &= \dot{\phi}_*\exp\left[-3H(t-t_*)\right] \\ &- \frac{V'(\phi)_*}{3H_*}\left[1-\exp\left[-3H(t-t_*)\right]\right] \\ &+ N\lambda n_*(t-t_*)\exp\left[-3H_*(t-t_*)\right] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The physical interpretation here is that the rate of change of the amplitude of the scalar field rapidly increases due to the coupling to particles created at the resonance $\phi = \phi_*$.

Then, using Eq. (1) for the fluctuation as it exits the horizon, and constant $H \approx H_*$, one obtains the perturbation in the primordial power spectrum as it exits the horizon:

$$\delta_H = \frac{[\delta_H(a)]_{N\lambda=0}}{1+\Theta(a-a_*)(N\lambda n_*/|\dot{\phi}_*|H_*)(a_*/a)^3\ln(a/a_*)} \quad , \tag{7}$$

where $\Theta(a-a_*)$ is the Heaviside step function. It is clear in Eq. (7) that the power in the fluctuation of the inflaton field will abruptly diminish when the universe grows to some critical scale factor a_* at which time particles are resonantly created.

Using $k_*/k = a_*/a$, then the perturbation spectrum Eq. (7) can be reduced [38] to a simple two-parameter function.

$$\delta_H(k) = \frac{[\delta_H(a)]_{N\lambda=0}}{1+\Theta(k-k_*)A(k_*/k)^3\ln(k/k_*)} \quad . \tag{8}$$

where the amplitude A and characteristic wave number k_* can be approximately related to the observed power spectrum from the approximate relation:

$$k_* \approx \frac{\ell_*}{r_{lss}} \quad , \tag{9}$$

where r_{lss} is the comoving distance to the last scattering surface, taken here to be 13.8 Gpc [5]. For each resonance the values of A and k_* determined from from the CMB power spectrum relate to the inflaton coupling λ and fermion masses m via Eqs. (7) and (8).

$$A = |\dot{\phi}_*|^{-1}N\lambda n_* H_*^{-1} \quad . \tag{10}$$

The connection between resonant particle creation and the CMB derives from the usual expansion in spherical harmonics, $\Delta T/T = \sum_l\sum_m a_{lm}Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$ ($2 \le l < \infty$ and $-l \le m \le l$). The anisotropies are then described by the angular power spectrum, $C_l = \langle|a_{lm}|^2\rangle$, as a function of multipole number l. One then merely requires the conversion from perturbation spectrum $\delta_H(k)$ to angular power spectrum C_l. This is easily accomplished using the *CAMB* code [52]. When converting to the angular power spectrum, the amplitude of the narrow particle creation feature in $\delta_H(k)$ is spread over many values of ℓ. Hence, the particle creation features look like broad dips in the power spectrum.

III. FERMIONIC SUPERSTRINGS

In string theory, the inclusion of fermions requires supersymmetry. To incorporate supersymmetry into string theory, there are two different approaches:
1. The Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz (RNS) formalism which is supersymmetric on the string world-sheet.
2. The Green-Schwarz (GS) formalism which is developed generally in a 10-dimensional Minkowski background spacetime (or other spaetime).

These two formalisms are equivalent in Minkowski spacetime. In what follows we adopt the RNS formalism.

A. RNS String Boundary Conditions and mode Expansions

The first task before us is to develop the RNS sector and then deduce the mass spectrum both in the open and closed string sector. In the RNS sector the integrand of the action is written as a function of the worldsheet light-cone coordinates τ, σ:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int d\tau d\sigma \partial_+ X^\mu(\sigma^-,\sigma^+)\partial_- X^\mu(\sigma^-,\sigma^+) \\ &+ \frac{i}{\pi}\int d\tau d\sigma\big[\psi_-^\mu(\sigma^-,\sigma^+)\partial_+\psi_{-\mu}(\sigma^-,\sigma^+) \\ &+ \psi_+^\mu(\sigma^-,\sigma^+)\partial_-\psi_{+\mu}(\sigma^-,\sigma^+)\big] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here X^μ represents bosonic strings. For fermionic fields the action takes the form:

$$S_F = \int d^2\sigma(\psi_-\partial_+\psi_- + \psi_+\partial_-\psi_+) \ , \qquad (12)$$

where $d^2\sigma \equiv d\tau d\sigma$.

B. Open RNS Strings

For 10-dimensional superstring theories, open strings are described by the variables $\psi^\mu_\alpha(\tau,\sigma)$, with $\alpha = +,-$. For open strings in the light-cone gauge, the equations of motion imply ψ^l_+ is right-moving, while ψ^i_- is left moving. In the full superstring theory, the state space breaks into two sectors: 1) The upper sign is adopted for states in the Raymond (R) sector; 2) while in the Neuveu-Schwarz (NS) sector the lower choice of sign is used. A periodic fermion corresponds to the R sector while an anti-periodic fermion corresponds to the NS sector.

One obtains the open superstring theory by applying the GSO truncation of the space [13]. That is, in the NS sector one only keeps states that contain an odd number of fermions acting on the ground state. In the R sector one keeps the set of states built upon the ground state $|R^a_1\rangle$ $(a = 1, ..., 8)$ with an even number of fermions plus those states built from an odd number of fermions on the ground state $|R^a_2\rangle$. In this GSO truncation then bosonic states arise from the NS sector, while all fermionic states arise in the R sector, even though world-sheet fermionic states are used in both sectors.

In the case of open strings, the boundaries are $\sigma = 0, \pi$.

- Raymond Sector:
  In this case one chooses the other end of the open string to obey,

  $$\psi^\mu_+|_{\sigma=\pi} = \psi^\mu_-|_{\sigma=\pi} \ . \qquad (13)$$

  The Raymond boundary condition induces fermions to the background spacetime. Thus, the field equations for the fermionic fields are:

  $$\partial_-\psi^\mu_+ = 0 \ ,$$
  $$\partial_+\psi^\mu_- = 0 \ . \qquad (14)$$

  Imposing the Raymond boundary conditions gives the mode expansion of the fields as,

  $$\psi^\mu_-(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}} d^\mu_n e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} \ ,$$
  $$\psi^\mu_+(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}} d^\mu_n e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} \ . \qquad (15)$$

- Neveu-Schwarz Sector:
  In this case one chooses the other end of the string to obey,

  $$\psi^\mu_+|_{\sigma=\pi} = -\psi^\mu_-|_{\sigma=\pi} \ . \qquad (16)$$

  The NS sector describes bosons living on the background spacetime. In the NS sector then the mode expansion of the fields are given by:

  $$\psi^\mu_-(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} b^\mu_r e^{-ir(\tau-\sigma)} \ ,$$
  $$\psi^\mu_+(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} b^\mu_r e^{-ir(\tau+\sigma)} \ . \qquad (17)$$

  Here, we use the usual notation in string theory whereby n or m represent integer valued numbers, while r or s are half-integer value numbers.

C. Open RNS Mass Spectrum

Having identified the bosonic and fermionic sectors for both open and closed string sectors, one can deduce the the mass spectrum in both cases. Key to the present application is that in the R sector, the mass spectrum has the simple form

$$M = \sqrt{(n/\alpha')} \ , \qquad (18)$$

with n an integer eigenvalue of the number operator $N^\perp$ defined in such a way as to kill the the tachyon ground states $|R^a_1\rangle$ and $|R^a_2\rangle$, while α' is a normalization. In particular, $N^\perp$ counts the contributions of the fermionic oscillators that appear in the states.

This means that, in our particle creation paradigm, the presence of a single open string with a mass $M = \sqrt{(n_0/\alpha')}$ should be accompanied by excitations with $n_{-1} = n_0 - 1$, $n_{-2} = n_0 - 2, ...$ and also states with $n_{+1} = n_0 + 1$, $n_{+2} = n_0 + 2$, etc.

So, one can entertain the possibility that the quadrupole suppression is due to a state with higher $M_{+1} = \sqrt{(n_0 + 1/)\alpha'}$ that resonated during inflation before the state M. We can also look for a state with $M_{-1} = \sqrt{(n_0 - 1/)\alpha'}$.

D. Closed RNS Strings

For closed-strings the boundary conditions are periodic:

$$\psi^\mu_\pm(\tau,\sigma) = \pm\psi^\mu_\pm(\tau,\sigma+\pi) \ , \qquad (19)$$

where the positive sign describes the periodic or the R sector boundary condition and the negative sign describes the anti-periodic or NS sector boundary condition. This leads to the following two choices for

the mode expansions of the left-going and right-going states. The left-going states in the R sector and NS sectors, respectively are:

$$\psi^{\mu}_{+}(\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}} \tilde{d}^{\mu}_{n} e^{-2in(\tau+\sigma)} \quad , \tag{20}$$

$$\psi^{\mu}_{+}(\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{b}^{\mu}_{r} e^{-2ir(\tau+\sigma)} \quad . \tag{21}$$

For the right going mode one has the following two choices,

$$\psi^{\mu}_{-}(\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}} d^{\mu}_{n} e^{-2in(\tau+\sigma)} \quad , \tag{22}$$

$$\psi^{\mu}_{-}(\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{n\in \mathrm{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} b^{\mu}_{r} e^{-2ir(\tau+\sigma)} \quad . \tag{23}$$

Since there are two choices for the left-going and two choices for the right-going states, there are a total of four different sectors; R-R, R-NS, NS-R and NS-NS sectors. The R-R and NS-NS modes correspond to background spacetime bosons, while the NS-R , R-NS sectors are the background fermions.

E. Closed RNS Mass Spectrum

Since closed strings are roughly obtained by multiplicatively combining left moving and right moving copies of open strings, one expects a similar spectrum for closed strings. In the case of closed strings in the RNS sector one must consider two possibilities: 1) R-R, NS-NS (bosonic); and 2) NS-R, R-NS (fermionic).

Now using the GSO mechanism and imposing G-parity leads to type IIA and type IIB string theories. For the mass spectrum of interest here, we explore the NS-R and R-NS sectors which represent the fermionic states in the background spacetime. As in type IIA strings there are 56 gravitinos and 8 dilatons.

In the NS-R, R-NS sectors one obtains a very similar mass spectrum to that of open strings, only in this case there is a zero-point offset ξ,

$$M_{closed} = \sqrt{(n+\xi)/\alpha'} \quad , \tag{24}$$

where the value of ξ is determined by the string theory of choice (i.e. type IIA or IIB). Also, the form changes for the GS sector in other background space-times. However, that is beyond the scope of this paper.

Thus, the only change for closed strings is that the number of oscillations on the string becomes an effective number of oscillations $n_{eff} = n+\xi$, with the value of $\xi \sim 8$ determined by the type of string theory. Henceforth, therefore we adopt $n_{eff} \equiv n$, keeping in mind that the true number of oscillations is less for closed strings than for open strings and also that n is not necessarily an integer for closed strings.

F. Number of oscillations on the string

In our previous paper [2] we related the mass of the resonant particle to the scale k^* and the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}_*$ of inflation associated with that scale, based upon an assumed a general monomial inflation effective potential. That is, the resonance condition relates the mass m to ϕ_* via,

$$m = N\lambda\phi_* \quad , \tag{25}$$

However, for a general monomial potential,

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda_{\phi} m_{pl}^4 \left(\frac{\phi}{m_{pl}}\right)^{\alpha} \quad , \tag{26}$$

there is an analytic solution for ϕ_* for a given scale in terms of the number of e-folds of inflation $\mathcal{N}_*$

$$\phi_* = \sqrt{2\alpha\mathcal{N}_*} m_{pl} \quad , \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_*$ is the number of e-folds of inflation corresponding to a given scale k_*,

$$\mathcal{N}_* = \frac{1}{m_{\rm pl}^2} \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_*} \frac{V(\phi)}{V'(\phi)} d\phi \ = \mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*/k_H\right) \quad , \tag{28}$$

where ϕ_{end} is the value of the scalar field at the end of inflation, $\mathcal{N}$ is the total number of e-folds of inflation and the Hubble scale is $k_H = h/2997.3 = 0.000227$ Mpc^{-1} (for $h = 0.68$) [5].

So, for either open or closed superstrings we can write

$$M = \sqrt{(n/\alpha')} = N\lambda\phi_* = N\lambda\sqrt{2\alpha}\sqrt{\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*/k_H\right)} \quad , \tag{29}$$

and we can write the mass corresponding to a given multipole on the sky

$$M(\ell_*)^2 \propto \left(\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*/k_H\right)\right) \quad . \tag{30}$$

Next, we make the simplifying assumption that the resonant states in the spectrum differ only in the number of oscillations on the string. Then the coupling to the inflaton field λ is the same, along with the number of degenerate fermion states N at a given mass. We also keep the same normalization of the mass scale α'.

Then if we take $\mathcal{N} = 50$, we can write for the ratio of the quadrupole ($\ell^* = 2$) suppression resonance to the $\ell^* = 20$ resonance for open superstrings:

$$\frac{M^2(\ell^*=2)}{M^2(\ell=20)} = \frac{n+1}{n} \approx \frac{\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*(2)/k_H\right)}{\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*(20)/k_H\right)} \quad . \tag{31}$$

This relation can be used to deduce the number of oscillations on the string.

$$n = \left[\frac{\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*(2)/k_H\right)}{\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*(20)/k_H\right)} - 1\right]^{-1} \quad . \tag{32}$$



FIG. 1: (Color online) The fit (res line) to $\ell \approx 2$, $\ell \approx 20$ and $\ell \approx 60$ suppression of the TT CMB power spectrum as described in the text. Points with error bars are from the *Planck* Data Release [5]. The green line shows the best standard ΛCDM power-law fit to the *Planck* CMB power spectrum



FIG. 2: Same as Figure 1 but in this case the lines are the derived EE CMB power spectrum based upon the fits to the TT power spectrum shown in Figure 1.

Once the number of oscillations is deduced, one can predict k_* for the next state via:

$$\ln\left(k_*/k_H\right) = \mathcal{N} - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)[\mathcal{N} - \ln\left(k_*(20)/k_H\right)] \quad (33)$$

We have made a straightforward χ^2 minimization to fit the CMB *Planck* power spectrum [5] for the $\ell^* \approx 2$ and $\ell^* = 20$ resonances. We also searched via Eq. (33) for a possible third resonant string excitation corresponding $n-1$ oscillations on the string. For simplicity and speed we fixed all cosmological parameters at the values deduced by *Planck* [5] and only searched over a single amplitude and two k_* values, with the third k_* value predicted from Eq. (33).

We deduce the following resonance parameters:

$$\ell \approx 2,\ A = 1.7 \pm 1.5,\ k_*(2) = 0.00048 \pm 0.00025\ h\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$$

$$\ell \approx 20,\ A = 1.7 \pm 1.5,\ k_*(20) = 0.00149 \pm 0.00045\ h\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$$

$$\ell \approx 60,\ A = 1.7 \pm 1.5,\ k_* = 0.00462 \pm 0.00035\ h\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$$

Adopting this as the best fit string parameters gives via Eq. (32), $n = 42.5$ for the effective number of oscillation on the string.

Figure 1 illustrates the best fit to the TT CMB power spectrum that includes both the $\ell \approx 2$, $\ell \approx 20$ and $\ell \approx 60$ suppression of the CMB. It is obvious from Figure 1 that that the evidence for this fit is statistically weak due to the large errors in the data. Indeed, the total reduction in χ^2 is $\Delta\chi^2 = -9$ for a fit with an addition of 3 degrees of freedom, i.e. the amplitude A and two independent values for k_*.

Figure 2 similarly illustrates the derived EE CMB power spectrum based upon the fits to the TT power spectrum shown in Figure 1. Although this fit is not optimized, and the uncertainty in the data is large, there is a reduction in total χ^2 by $\Delta\chi^2 = -5$ for the line with resonant superstring creation. Hence, the EE spectrum is at least consistent with this paradigm and in fact slightly favors it.

Under the assumption that the model errors are independent and obey a normal distribution, then the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) can be written [2] in terms of $\Delta\chi^2$ as $\Delta\mathrm{BIC} \approx \Delta\chi^2 + (p \cdot \ln n)$, where p is the number of parameters in the test and n is the number of points in the observed data. When selecting the best model, the lowest BIC is preferred since the BIC is an increasing function of both the error variance and the number of new degrees of freedom p. In other words, the unexplained variation in the dependent variable and the number of explanatory variables increase the value of BIC. Hence, a negative ΔBIC implies either fewer explanatory variables, a better fit, or both. For the ≈ 140 data points in the range of the fits of Figure 1 plus 2, the inferred total improvement is $\Delta\chi^2 = -14$ with the introduction of 3 new parameters. This corresponds to a $\Delta\mathrm{BIC} = +0.8$. Generally, $\Delta\mathrm{BIC} > 2$ is required to be considered evidence against a particular model. Hence, one must conclude that although the fit including the superstring resonances produces an improvement in χ^2, it is statistically equivalent to the simple power-law fit. Nevertheless, it is worthwhile to examine the possible physical meaning of the deduced parameters.

IV. PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

The coefficient A can be related directly to the coupling constant λ using the following approximation for the particle production Bogoliubov coefficient [37, 55–57]

$$|\beta_k|^2 \approx \exp\left(\frac{-\pi k^2}{a_*^2 \lambda |\dot{\phi}_*|}\right). \quad (34)$$

Then,

$$n_* = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dk_p\, k_p^2\, |\beta_k|^2 = \frac{N\lambda^{3/2}}{2\pi^3}|\dot{\phi}_*|^{3/2} \quad . \quad (35)$$

This gives,

$$A = \frac{N\lambda^{5/2}}{2\pi^3}\frac{\sqrt{|\dot{\phi}_*|}}{H_*} \quad (36)$$

$$\approx \frac{N\lambda^{5/2}}{2\sqrt{5}\pi^{7/2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_H(k_*)|_{\lambda=0}}} \quad . \quad (37)$$

where we have used the usual approximation for the primordial slow roll inflationary spectrum [3, 4].

Now, given that the CMB normalization requires $\delta_H(k)|_{\lambda=0} \sim 10^{-5}$, we have

$$A \sim 1.3 N\lambda^{5/2}. \quad (38)$$

Hence, for the maximum likelihood value of $A \sim 1.7 \pm 1.5$, we have

$$\lambda \approx \frac{(1.1 \pm 1.0)}{N^{2/5}} \quad . \quad (39)$$

The fermion particle mass m can then be deduced from the resonance condition, $m = N\lambda\phi_*$.

From Eq. (39) then we have $m \approx \phi_*/\lambda^{3/2}$. For the $\ell \approx 20$ ($k_* = 0.00149 \pm 0.00045\ h\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$) resonance, and $k_H = a_0 H_0 = (h/2997.9)\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1} \sim 0.0002$, we have $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_* = ln(k_H/k_*) < 1$. Typically one expects $\mathcal{N}(k_*) \sim \mathcal{N} \sim 50 - 60$.

We can then apply the resonance condition [Eq. (25)] to deduce the approximate range of masses for the string excitations. Monomial potentials [Eq. (26)] with $\alpha = 2/3$ or $\alpha = 1$ correspond to the lowest order approximation to the string theory axion monodromy inflation potential [63, 64]. Moreover, the limits on the tensor to scalar ratio from the *Planck* analysis [6] are more consistent with $\alpha = 2/3$ or 1. If we fix the value of $A = 1.7$, then from the range of 50-60 e-folds we would have $\phi_* = (8-9)\ m_{pl}$ for $\alpha = 2/3$ or $\phi_* = (10-11)\ m_{pl}$ for $\alpha = 1$. Hence, we have roughly the constraint,

$$m \sim (8-11)\ \frac{m_{\rm pl}}{\lambda^{3/2}} \quad . \quad (40)$$

We note, however, that if the uncertainty in the normalization parameter A is taken into account, this range increases. This illustration is simply meant to demonstrate that the mass of the string excitation can be determined once the coupling constant is known. To find the coupling constant via Eq. (39), however, one must estimate the degeneracy of the string states.

We also note that technically, the degeneracy is proportional to the number of oscillations on the string. However, since the number of oscillations n deduced here is large, the difference between the degeneracy of the $n-1$ and $n+1$ states is small (a few percent) compared to the overall uncertainty in the mass. Hence our assumption of a constant degeneracy for the string excitations is justified.

A. degeneracy of open superstrings

What differs in the present application from that in our previous work [2] is that since we know the number of oscillations of the string we can approximately count the degeneracy N of the superstring. For illustration, we simply describe the case of open superstrings for which the R states in superstring theory can be expressed as,

$$R\ \text{sector} = \prod_{l=2}^{9}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(\alpha_{-n}^l)^{\lambda_{n,l}}\prod_{J=2}^{9}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(d_{-m}^J)^{\rho_{m,J}}|R^A\rangle \otimes|p^+,\vec{p}_T\rangle \quad . \quad (41)$$

Here, $|R^A\rangle$ with $A = 1, 16$ are the degenerate R sector ground states, and $|p^+,\vec{p}_T\rangle$ are string states. The $d_{-1}^J, d_{-2}^J, d_{-3}^J ...$ are anticommuting creation operators acting on $|R^A\rangle$ and hence can only appear once in any given state. The α_{-n}^l are creation operators acting on $|p^+,\vec{p}_T\rangle$, and the quantities $\rho_{m,J}$ are either zero or 1.

Altogether then in the GSO truncation there are 16 degenerate ground states times a degeneracy of 2 for each of the n oscillations from the α_{-n}^l. operators. So, for our state with $n \approx 42$ the total degeneracy is $N \approx 1344$. This means that from Eq. (39) we deduce a coupling constant of $\lambda = 0.06 \pm 0.05$. Inserting this into Eq. (40) then implies a mass of the superstring of $\sim 540 - 750$ m_{pl}. Although this is a rather large number it is not inconsistent with the trans-Plankian physics of interest here.

V. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed the possible dips at $\ell \approx 2, 20$ and $\ell \approx 60$ in the *Planck* CMB power spectrum in the context of a model for the resonant creation of oscillations on a massive fermionic superstring during inflation. The best fit to the CMB power spectrum implies optimum features with an amplitude of $A \approx 1.7 \pm 1.5$, located at wave numbers of $k_* = 0.00048 \pm 0.00025, 0.00149 \pm 0.00045, and 0.00463 \pm 0.00035\ h\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. For string-theory motivated axion monodromy inflation potentials consistent with the *Planck* tensor-to-scalar ratio, this

feature would correspond to the resonant creation of superstring states with 41, 42 or 43 oscillations, respectively with a degeneracy of $N \approx 1340$ and with $m \sim 540 - 750\ m_{pl}$ and with a Yukawa coupling constant λ between the fermion species and the inflaton field of $\lambda \approx 0.06 \pm 0.05$)

Obviously there is a need for more precise determinations of the CMB power spectrum for multipoles, particularly in the range of $\ell = 2 - 100$, although this may ultimately be limited by the cosmic variance. Nevertheless, in spite of these caveats, we conclude that if the present analysis is correct, this may be the first hints at observational evidence of a superstring present at the Planck scale.

Indeed, one expects a plethora of superstring excitations to be present when the universe exited from the M-theory landscape. Perhaps, the presently observed CMB power spectrum contains the first suggestion that one of those many ambient superstrings may have coupled to the inflaton field leaving behind a relic signature of its existence in the CMB primordial power spectrum.

Acknowledgments

Work at the University of Notre Dame is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Nuclear Theory Grant DE-FG02-95-ER40934. Work at NAOJ was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of JSPS (26105517, 24340060). Work at Nagoya University supported by JSPS research grant number 24340048.

[1] J. Martin and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 123501 (2001)
[2] G. J. Mathews, M. R. Gangopadhyay, K. Ichiki, and T. Kajino, Phys. Rev. D92, 123519 (2015).
[3] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large Scale Structure*, (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK), (1998).
[4] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, (Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, Ca., 1990).
[5] *Planck* Collaboration, Astron. & Astrophys. **594** A13 (2016).
[6] *Planck XX* Collaboration, Astron. & Astrophys. **594** (2015) A20 (2016).
[7] G. Hinshaw, et al. (*WMAP Collaboration*) Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., **208**, 19 (2013).
[8] A. Iqbal, J. Prasad, T. Souradeep, and M. A. Malik, JCAP, **06**, 014 (2015).
[9] G. Efstathiou, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **346** L26, (2003).
[10] D. K. Hazra, A. Shafieloo, G. F. Smoot, and A. A. Starobinsky, JCAP, **08**, 048 (2014).
[11] A. Berera, L.-Z. Fang, and G. Hinshaw, Phys. Rev. D **57** 2207, (1998).
[12] C. R. Contaldi, M. Peloso, L. Kofman, and A. Linde, JCAP **7** 2, (2003).
[13]
[14] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D **74** 123006, (2006).
[15] B. A. Powell and W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D **76** 063512, (2007).
[16] I.-Chin Wang and K.-W. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **77** 083501, (2008).
[17] B. J. Broy, D. Roest, and A. Westphal, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 023514, (2015) .
[18] M. Cicoli, S. Downes, B. Dutta, F. G. Pedro, and A. Westphal, JCAP **12** 30, (2014).
[19] S. Das, G. Goswami, J. Prasad, and R. Rangarajan, JCAP, **06**, 01 (2015).
[20] S. Das and T. Souradeep, JCAP **2**, 2, (2014).
[21] G. Efstathiou, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **343** L95 (2003).
[22] J.-P. Luminet, J. R. Weeks, A. Riazuelo, R. Lehoucq, and J.-P. Uzan, Nature, **425** 593 (2003).
[23] L. Campanelli, P. Cea, and L. Tedesco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** 131302, (2006).
[24] L. Campanelli, P. Cea, and L. Tedesco, Phys. Rev. D **76** 063007, (2007).
[25] A. Hajian and T. Souradeep, Astrophys. J. Lett. **597** L5, (2003).
[26] C. Gordon and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. D **70** 083003, (2004).
[27] Y.-S. Piao, B. Feng, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **69** 103520, (2004).
[28] Z.-G. Liu, Z.-K. Guo, and Y.-S. Piao, Phys. Rev. D **88** 063539, (2013).
[29] F. Scardigli, C. Gruber, and P. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **83** 063507 (2011).
[30] J. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D **89** 127303, (2014).
[31] J. McDonald, JCAP **11** 012, (2014).
[32] Y. Wang and Y.-Z. Ma, eprint arXiv:1501.00282v1 (2015).
[33] N. Kitazawa and A. Sagnotti, EPJ Web of Conferences **95**, 03031 (2015).
[34] N. Kitazawa and A. Sagnotti, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **30**, 1550137 (2015).
[35] R. Holman, L. Mersini-Houghton, and T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 063511 (2008).
[36] A. Barrau, T. Cailleteau, J. Grain, and J. Mielczarek, Classical and Quantum Gravity **31** 053001 (2014).
[37] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto, and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043508 (2000).
[38] G. J. Mathews, D. Chung, K. Ichiki, T. Kajino, and M. Orito, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 083505 (2004).
[39] B. S. Mason, et al. (*CBI* Collaboration), Astrophys. J., 591, 540 (2003).
[40] T. J. Pearson, et al. (*CBI* Collaboration), Astrophys. J., 591, 556 (2003).
[41] A. C. S. Readhead, et al., Astrophys. J. in press, (2004).
[42] C. L. Kuo et al., (*ACBAR* Collaboration), Astrophys. J., **600**, 32 (2004).
[43] K. S. Dawson, et al., *BIMA* Collaboration), Astrophys. J., **581**, 86 (2002).
[44] K. Grainge, et al., *VSA* Collaboration), Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **341**, L23, (2003).
[45] C. L. Bennett, et al. (*WMAP* Collaboration), Astrophys. J., Suppl., **148**, 99 (2003); D. L. Spergel, et al., Astro-

phys. J. Suppl., **148**, 175 (2003).
[46] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** 3195 (1994).
[47] O. Elgaroy, S. Hannestad, and T. Haugboelle, JCAP, 09, 008 (2003).
[48] A. E. Romano and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 103522 (2008).
[49] N. Barnaby, Z. Huang, L. Kofman, and D. Pogosyan, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043501 (2009).
[50] M. A. Fedderke, E. W. Kolb, M. Wyman, Phys. Rev., D **91**, 063505 (2015).
[51] A. A. Starobinsky and I. I. Tkachev, J. Exp. Th. Phys. Lett., **76**, 235 (2002).
[52] A. Lewis, A. Challinor, and A. Lasenby, Astrophys. J., **538**, 473 (2000).
[53] N. Christensen and R. Meyer, L. Knox, and B. Luey, Class. and Quant. Grav., **18**, 2677 (2001).
[54] A. Lewis and S. Bridle, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 103511 (2002).
[55] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982).
[56] L. Kofman, A. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3258 (1997).
[57] D. J. H. Chung, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 083514 (2003).
[58] E. W. Kolb and R. Slansky, Phys. Lett 135B, 378 (1984).
[59] *Our Superstring Universe: Strings, Branes, Extra Dimensions and Superstring-M Theory* , by L.E. Lewis, Jr. (iUniverse, Inc. NE, USA; 2003)
[60] G. P. Efstathiou, in *Physics of the Early Universe*, (SUSSP Publications, Edinburgh, 1990), eds. A. T. Davies, A. Heavens, and J. Peacock.
[61] J. A. Peacock and S. J. Dodds, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **280** L19 (1996).
[62] E. A. Kazin, et al. (Wiggle-Z Dark Energy Survey), MNRAS **441**, 35243542 (2014).
[63] E. Silverstein, and A. Westphal, Phys.Rev., **D78**, 106003 (2008).
[64] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, Phys.Rev., **D82**, 046003 (2010).